

## Supplemental, ELO-based Campus-wide Course Evaluations

A draft proposal from the UW Teaching Academy

David A. Baum (Botany), Teaching Academy  
Faculty Co-chair  
dbaum@wisc.edu

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Process

- 1994 Faculty senate task force
- 2011-2013: Teaching Academy ad hoc assessment subcommittee (Batzli, Baum, Henke) developed and evaluated the idea of a supplemental survey
- 2015: Teaching Academy executive committee took up the proposal and drafted a white paper to present to joint governance groups

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---







## We should not replace...

- Departmental course evaluations
  - Specific questions suited to the course and student body
  - Open-ended text responses
- Other assessments of teacher quality (e.g., peer assessments)
- Other measures of student accomplishment (e.g., graduation surveys)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Could the UW Essential Learning Outcomes provide the consistency?

**Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World**  
■ Through study in the sciences and mathematics, social sciences, humanities, histories, languages, and the arts

**Personal and Social Responsibility**  
■ Civic knowledge and engagement—local and global  
■ Intercultural knowledge and competence  
■ Ethical reasoning and action  
■ Foundations and skills for lifelong learning

**Intellectual and Practical Skills**  
■ Inquiry and analysis  
■ Critical and creative thinking  
■ Written and oral communication  
■ Quantitative literacy  
■ Information, media, and technology literacy  
■ Teamwork and problem solving

**Integrative Learning**  
■ Synthesis and advanced accomplishment across general and specialized studies

<http://www.learning.wisc.edu/welo2010.pdf>

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### To test this idea:

- We developed initial questions based on ELO language
- UW Survey Center conducted three rounds of focus groups to sequentially improve questions (funded by University Assessment Council)
- We also evaluated student reactions to the survey mechanism

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Student reactions

- The survey made them reflect on their courses in ways they hadn't before
- Students observed that you can "appreciate classes for different reasons"
- ELOs became clearer
- Would be better than "RateMyProfessor.com"
- The overall reception of the survey by students was very positive

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## ELO questions

1. In general, how much did this course enhance your knowledge of the world, such as knowledge of human cultures, society, or science? [Not at all, A little, Somewhat, Quite a bit, A great deal]  
– *Relates to ELO #1 (Knowledge)*
2. How much did this course help you develop intellectual skills, such as critical or creative thinking, quantitative reasoning, and problem solving? [Not at all, ...]  
– *Relates to ELO #2 (Skills), in part.*
4. How much did this course help you develop potential professional skills, such as written and oral communication, computer literacy, and working in teams? [Not at all, ...]  
– *Relates to ELO #2 (Skills), in part*
  - We now think “workplace” is better than “professional” since intellectual skills are also helpful for professional work.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## ELO questions

4. How much did this course increase your sense of social responsibility, for example by increasing your knowledge of cultures or providing you with opportunities for civic or community involvement?” [Not at all, ...]
  - Relates to ELO #3 (Social responsibility).
  - Needs work. Some ideas: “Helping you develop skills for civic engagement” “Empowering you to become involved in solving societal problems” or ??
5. How much did this course improve your ability to combine knowledge or skills from different fields of study? [Not at all, ...]  
– *Relates to ELO #4 (Integrated learning).*

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Summative Questions

6. How would you rate the overall educational value of this course, that is the extent to which the course improved your all-around education or prepared you for the future? [Very poor, Poor, Fair, Good, Very good]
  - Maybe be better to use either “improved your all-around education” or “prepared you for the future,” not both
7. How would you rate the overall quality of this course, that is the extent to which it was **structured and taught** in order to maximize its educational value?” [...]

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Our proposal

- Further improve upon the 7-question survey we developed
- Develop the means so that students complete this survey for each course sometime after the semester
- Develop the means to collect these raw data and present summaries to students and other stakeholders

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---





## Next steps?

- Improve the tool
  - Do more focus groups to fix the poor questions
  - Explore data presentation formats and methods
- See if campus endorses this approach
  - Town hall meetings (such as this)
  - Discussions by governance groups

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Thanks!

- Ad hoc Committee
  - Janet Batzli (co-chair); Jamie Henke; Jim Wollack; Brian Yandell
- UW Survey Center
  - John Stevenson; Jen Dykema; Jaime Faus; Tara Piché
- Mo Bischof; Jocelyn Milner; Steve Cramer
- UW Assessment Council

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

